1

2

4

5

6 7

/

8

10

DUCHUN GOODWIN,

v.

et al.,

Plaintiff,

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendants.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

this action on April 14, 2025. Doc. 1. On May 22, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge screened the complaint, found that it failed to state a claim, and afforded plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. Doc. 4. Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise respond by the deadline, and on June 30, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this matter be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a

plaintiff that he had fourteen days within which to file objections to the findings and

Plaintiff Duchun Goodwin, who is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, commenced

recommendations. *Id.* Plaintiff did not file objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

claim, failure to prosecute, and failure to comply with a court order. Doc. 6. That order informed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 1:25-cv-00430-KES-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING CASE

	Case 1:25-cv-00430-KES-SAB	
1	Accordingly,	
2	1. The findings and recommendations, Doc. 6, filed June 30, 2025, are ADOPTED in	n
3	full.	
4	2. This matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.	
5	21 This matter is BisivissEB with projunce.	
6		
7	Γ IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: July 22, 2025	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
22 23		
23 24		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		